File \$/035/0033

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mine: Decorative Developments, Developments 1 Mine

Permit #: S0350033

CO MC2007-03-06 Violation # <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

SERIOUSNESS

| a. | Activity outside the approved permit area. |
|----|--|
| b. | Injury to the public (public safety). |
| c. | Damage to property. |
| d. | Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. |
| e. | Environmental harm. |
| f. | Water pollution. |
| g. | Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. |
| h. | Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. |
| i. | No event occurred as a result of the violation. |
| i. | Other. |

Explanation: The operator was conducting mining operations outside the approved permit area and had exceeded the five-acre limitation for this site.

2. Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u>
If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: An area of 8.65 acres had been disturbed in this area, but the notice of intention and reclamation surety only allowed for five acres of disturbance.

3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? Yes

If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: The only damage was the surface disturbance.

| B. <u>DEGI</u> | REE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss). |
|---------------------------|---|
| | Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site. |
| Explanation: | |
| | Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care. |
| acreage was n | The operator did not take adequate care to ensure the permitted disturbance not exceeded. Additional rock was available outside the boundaries, and the erators probably did not have instructions to stay within certain limits. |
| | If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited. |
| Explanation: | |
| | Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition? |
| Explanation: | |
| | Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken. |
| but the compareceived two | This specific operator has not previously received a violation or cessation order, any is associated, though not directly, with Decorative Landscaping which has previous cessation orders. While the two companies do not share officers, they e address, and equipment operators work for both companies. |
| | as any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? yes explain. Yes |
| Explanation: | The operator was able to take and potentially sell rock from an unpermitted area. |

GOOD FAITH

In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation 1. must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: The cessation is not yet fully abated.

Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: ____

Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Paul B. Baker Authorized Representative

August 31, 2007

Date

O:\M035-SaltLake\S0350033 Developments 1\NonCompliance\state-mc070306.doc